

TABLE 14
HIGH RISE STRUCTURAL STEEL BUILDINGS
Buildings in course of construction not listed

| Bldg. No. | District Location | Building Information | | Earthquake Damage | | | Epicentral Distance (miles) |
|-----------|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|
| | | Constr. Date | Stories Above/Below Grade | Area* | Exterior and Ornamentation | Interior and Contents | |
| 1 | Wilshire | 57-59 | 6/3 | 350,000 | Marble facing crkd. | Filing cabinets over, ML&P part. crkd. | 22.5 |
| 2 | Beverly Hills | 1955 | 8/1 | 92,000 | Windows 5th & 7th | Part., ceiling tiles damg. | 22.0 |
| 3 | Beverly Hills | 59-60 | 8/1 | 85,000 | Windows 3rd | ML&P stairwell crkd. 4th to 6th | 22.0 |
| 4 | Van Nuys | 63-64 | 8/0 | 97,000 | Lobby walls crkd. 4th thru 7th | ML&P part. crkd. Restr. damg. 2nd | 14.0 |
| 5 | Sherman Oaks | 64-65 | 8/1 | 45,000 | Windows 1st, 4th, 8th | Books, pictures fell | 17.0 |
| 6 | Sunset Strip | 60-61 | 9/3 | 100,000 | Front window | File cabinets, books, light fixtures fell | 20.0 |
| 7 | Hollywood | 63-64 | 10/0 | 110,000 | Conc. ext. walls crkd. | Intr. walls crkd., books fell, furn. damg. | 20.0 |
| 8 | Beverly Hills | 63-64 | 10/1 | 100,000 | None | All flrs. ML&P crkd., ceiling tile fell | 22.0 |
| 9 | Downtown L.A. | 62-63 | 11/2 | 280,000 | Windows broke & shifted | L&P walls crkd. all flrs. | 24.0 |
| 10 | Hollywood | 58-59 | 12/1 | 102,000 | Windows 2, 5, 6, 8, 9 | So. stairwells damg. | 20.0 |
| 11 | Miracle Mile | 55-56 | 12/1 | 110,000 | Ext. conc. wall crkd. | Books, lamps, file cabinet fell | 22.5 |
| 12 | Sunset Strip | 62-63 | 12/1 | 159,000 | None | Slight cracking to L&P | 20.0 |
| 13 | Westwood | 60-61 | 12/1 | 128,000 | Tile facing crkd. | Drywl. crkd. all flrs. | 22.0 |
| 14 | Hollywood | 65-67 | 12/1 | 200,000 | None | Slight drywl. crkd. | 20.0 |
| 15 | Hollywood | 55-56 | 13/0 | 95,000 | Windows all flrs. | Crkd. drywl. 3rd to 9th | 20.0 |
| 16 | Wilshire | 57-58 | 13/1 | 200,000 | Tile loose | Crkd. bsmt. walls (conc.), crkd. L&P | 22.5 |
| 17 | Hollywood | 68-69 | 14/0 | 188,000 | None | Crkd. ML&P walls thruout | 20.0 |
| 18 | Westwood | 61-62 | 15/2 | 231,000 | Window crkd. 8th | 2 cracks in drywl., books fell | 22.0 |
| 19 | Sunset Strip | 63-64 | 15/1 | 140,000 | None | Crkd. ML&P drywl. all flrs. | 20.0 |
| 20 | Century City | 68-70 | 15/3 | 340,000 | None | Stairwell conc. wall crkd. | 23.0 |
| 21 | Miracle Mile | 63-64 | 18/1 | 160,000 | None | Crkd. drywl. all flrs. | 22.5 |
| 22 | Hollywood | 61-64 | 19/3 | 90,000 | None | Crkd. ML&P walls | 20.0 |
| 23 | Miracle Mile | 59-61 | 22/1 | 210,000 | None | Crkd. ML&P core, books fell | 22.5 |
| 24 | Wilshire | 60-61 | 22/1 | 453,000 | Marble crkd. in lobby | Crkd. drywl. 4th to 14th | 22.5 |
| 25 | Wilshire | 61-63 | 22/1 | 356,000 | Ext. conc. walls crkd. | Ceiling tile fell, books fell | 22.5 |
| 26 | Westwood | 69-70 | 24/4 | 605,000 | Window seals broken | Conc. flrs. crkd. 21st to 25th | 22.0 |
| 27 | Wilshire | 67-69 | 31/1 | 750,000 | Marble broke in lobby | ML&P walls crkd. all flrs. | 22.5 |
| 28 | Miracle Mile | 1968 | 31/4 | 475,000 | None | Crkd. drywl. all flrs. | 22.5 |
| 29 | Downtown L.A. | 1963 | 32/2 | 700,000 | Tile damage ext. walls | L&P walls crkd. all flrs. | 24.0 |
| 30 | Downtown L.A. | 65-67 | 42/ | 700,000 | None | L&P cores crkd. stairs & elev. | 24.0 |

*Area is total square footage above grade

observed damage patterns were about the same. Flexibly framed buildings, whether concrete or steel, suffered mostly cosmetic damage on February 9th when relatively stiff stair-elevator and utility cores within the frame experienced large story-to-story relative movements. Elevator cables and the containment of elevator counterweights in the taller buildings were damaged and caused damage, and these features necessarily must receive more design attention in the future.

The greatest reported dollar loss to a completed steel frame building occurred in a 42 story building in downtown Los Angeles, 24 miles from the epicenter. Here, a \$200,000 loss to plastered partitions and elevator equipment resulted. Considering a \$20,700,000 original investment in 1965-67, the loss represents almost 1% of value.

A 22 story steel framed structure built in 1961-63 in the Wilshire District suffered a \$100,000 loss, just slightly greater than 1% of value, when an exterior concrete shear wall cracked, ceiling tiles fell and elevator counter balance weights were dislodged.

The twin 52 story office towers of the \$175 million dollar Atlantic-Richfield Plaza Towers in downtown Los Angeles were in the latter stages of construction when the earthquake occurred. Apparently, a 25% increase in the number of cracks in the welds in the two lower stories of both steel framed towers was found after the earthquake, with this increase seemingly due to the earthquake. Miniscule cracks in the welds connecting heavy metal members occur during the normal welding process and these cracks are normal to this work; routine ultrasonic testing is used to discover these cracks and allow for repairs. It is premature to speculate very far into this particular case due to the lack of time and detailed information, but the potential problem of earthquake induced weld stress cracks in modern steel frame buildings is disquieting. Additionally, there is no assurance that all welded steel frame buildings will be as adequately inspected as was the Atlantic-Richfield towers. The cost of the repair of all welds, regardless of origin, has been placed at \$400,000.

COMPARATIVE DATA

Figures 47 and 48 show dollar losses in terms of cents per square foot of total floor area (above ground) as functions of epicentral distance and of types of construction (concrete and steel). Dollar losses include building and equipment repair costs. Occasionally, contents losses were included when they could not be segregated, but these contents losses were small and have no significant effect on the conclusions. The following conclusions may be drawn from an examination of figures 47 and 48.

1. Steel frame and reinforced concrete high-rise generally performed equally well when located 15 to 25 miles from the epicenter, with exception as noted in (4), below.
2. No simple insurance rating or underwriting guidelines for story height vs. epicentral distance can be established solely from this earthquake. No doubt, local geology and the building's natural periods of vibration played significant roles.
3. The loss per square foot was under 15 cents for 82 percent of all examined buildings.
4. Where exceptions occurred to (1) and (3), above, they were usually adverse with respect to reinforced concrete construction, as follows:

| Dollar Loss in Cents per sq. ft. | Material |
|----------------------------------|----------|
| 680. | Concrete |
| 192. | Concrete |
| 65.5 | Concrete |
| 41.7 | Concrete |
| 35.5 | Concrete |
| 35.0 | Steel |
| 33.3 | Concrete |
| 29.5 | Concrete |
| 28.3 | Steel |
| 28.0 | Steel |

The foregoing experience suggests that reinforced concrete high-rise buildings will, upon occasion, experience a greater degree of significant damage than will comparable steel frame structures.

From a percentage loss standpoint, completed steel frame buildings never exceeded about 1% of value. A total of 5 reinforced concrete structures had losses over 1%, and two of these had losses over 5%.

NON-EARTHQUAKE RESISTIVE HIGH-RISE BUILDINGS

Older non-earthquake resistive high-rise buildings performed quite badly when compared to modern high-rise construction, based on limited selected information on older structures in the downtown Los Angeles area.

The buildings in Table 15 were erected between the years of 1906 and 1916, prior to the enactment of earthquake requirements in the Los Angeles City code. That is, the engineering design considered only the vertical loadings and not earthquake forces. However, during that construction period, fireproofing of the *steel frames* often was a monolithic concrete covering which inherently developed a strong "composite" structure of steel and concrete. This is not common under present construction methods which employ a sprayed-on light weight asbestos material.